Predictive value of the number of symptoms during pH monitoring for the diagnosis of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease
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Summary
Symptoms have a low accuracy for the diagnosis of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) compared to pH monitoring. The absence of GERD in patients with reflux symptoms can be explained by esophageal dysmotility, visceral hypersensitivity, hypervigilance and psychosocial characteristics. The number of symptoms experienced in 24 hours may represent some of these functional, sensorial and psychosocial characteristics. Aims. This study aims is to correlate the number of symptoms reported during 24-hour pH monitoring with the diagnosis of GERD. Methods. We studied 424 non-selected patients (58% females, median age 41 years, range 18-77) that underwent a pH monitoring for suspected GERD. Esophageal symptoms, extraesophageal symptoms, and no symptoms were reported in 199 (47%), 129 (30%), and 150 (35%) of the tests, respectively. Patients were grouped in GERD + and GERD - according to the DeMeester score. Symptom association was defined by the Symptom Index. Results. GERD + comprised 180 (44%) patients. Total number of symptoms, number of esophageal and extraesophageal symptoms, and symptom index were higher in patients GERD +. Symptoms and DeMeester score did not correlate for the total number of symptoms, esophageal symptoms, extraesophageal symptoms and symptom index. Conclusion. In conclusion, GERD + patients reported higher number of symptoms during pH monitoring but the number of symptoms is not a good predictor for GERD presence or severity.
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Pronóstico del número de síntomas durante la pHmetría para el diagnóstico de la enfermedad por refluo gastroesofágico

Resumen
Los síntomas poseen baja precisión para el diagnóstico de la enfermedad por refluo gastroesofágico (ERGE) en comparación con la pHmetría esofágica. La ausencia de ERGE en pacientes con síntomas de refluo puede ser explicada por las alteraciones en la motilidad esofágica, hipersensibilidad visceral, hipervigilancia o características psicosociales. El número de síntomas dentro de las 24 horas puede representar algunas de estas características funcionales, sensoriales y psicológicas. Objetivos. Correlacionar el número de síntomas reportados durante la pHmetría con el diagnóstico de ERGE. Métodos. Realizamos una pHmetría en 424 pacientes aleatorios (58% mujeres, edad mediana: 41 años; rango: 18-77) con sospecha de ERGE. Síntomas esofágicos, extraesofágicos y ausencia de síntomas estuvieron presentes...
Different studies showed that symptoms have a low accuracy for the diagnosis of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) as compared to pH monitoring. An objective evaluation of patients with GERD symptoms brings better outcomes after treatment and lower costs. pH monitoring allows not only an objective testing for pathologic gastroesophageal reflux but also evaluates temporal correlation between symptoms and episodes of reflux. This temporal correlation also correlates with outcomes. The number of symptoms experienced in 24 hours may also represent indirectly hypersensitivity, hypervigilance and psychosocial characteristics that may justify GERD symptoms in patients with a normal pH monitoring.

This study aims to correlate the number of symptoms reported during 24-hour pH monitoring with the objective diagnosis of GERD.

**Material and methods**

**Population**

We studied 424 non-selected consecutive patients (58% females, median age 41 years, range: 18-77), that underwent ambulatory pH monitoring for suspected GERD. Patients with previous foregut surgery or primary esophageal motility disorders were excluded from the study.

**Esophageal function tests**

All patients underwent esophageal manometry, to evaluate esophageal motility and to locate the upper border of the lower esophageal sphincter (LES). Medications that interfere with esophageal and gastric motility were discontinued opportune. Esophageal pH monitoring was performed in all patients. Acid reducing medications were discontinued 3 (H2 blocking agents) to 10 days (proton pump inhibitors) prior to the study. During the study, the patients consumed an unrestricted diet. The data were incorporated into a composite score (DeMeester score), and a score greater than 14.7 was set as abnormal. Patients were grouped according to abnormal pH monitoring in GERD + or GERD -. The number of symptoms during the test was recorded as well as temporal symptomatic correlation to reflux episodes measured by the symptom index.

**Symptoms**

Symptoms were grouped in esophageal (heartburn, regurgitation) and extraesophageal (otolaryngologic, pulmonary, thoracic). The most prevalent symptom was considered as the main complaint.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were presented as mean ± standard deviation. Student’s T, Pearson correlation, Fisher tests and Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve were used when appropriate. A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Ethics**

The study protocol was approved by the local Ethics Committee. There are no conflicts of interest. There is no funding. The authors are responsible for the manuscript and no professional writers were hired. Informed consent was waived due to the retrospective format of the study.

**Results**

GERD + comprised 44% of the total number of patients studied. Demographic data analysis is shown in Table 1. GERD - patients had a higher proportion of females. Symptoms characteristics were not different when groups were compared.

Total number of symptoms, number of esophageal and extraesophageal symptoms, and symptom index were higher in GERD + patients.

Symptoms and DeMeester score did not correlate for the total number of symptoms ($p = 0.8$), esophageal symptoms ($p = 0.7$), extraesophageal symptoms ($p = 1.0$) and symptom index ($p = 0.8$) (Figure 1).

The area under ROC curve was 0.6 for the accuracy of the number of symptoms and the presence of GERD (Figure 2).
Table 1. Patients' demographics and symptoms according to the presence or absence of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GERD + (n = 180)</th>
<th>GERD - (n = 244)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Females n (%)</strong></td>
<td>86 (48)</td>
<td>160 (66)</td>
<td>0.0003 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years median (range))</strong></td>
<td>41 (18-77)</td>
<td>41 (18-74)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Esophageal symptoms n (%)</strong></td>
<td>99 (55)</td>
<td>125 (51)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Extraesophageal symptoms n (%)</strong></td>
<td>81 (45)</td>
<td>119 (49)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No symptoms reported (%)</strong></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Statistical significance

Table 2. Number of symptoms reported during pH monitoring according to the presence or absence of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GERD + (n = 180)</th>
<th>GERD - (n = 244)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of symptoms</strong></td>
<td>5.7 ± 9.3</td>
<td>3.3 ± 5.1</td>
<td>0.0007 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of esophageal symptoms</strong></td>
<td>4.5 ± 8.6</td>
<td>2.0 ± 4.6</td>
<td>0.0001 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of extraesophageal symptoms</strong></td>
<td>1.2 ± 4.0</td>
<td>1.2 ± 4.5</td>
<td>0.02 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Symptom index</strong></td>
<td>51.6 ± 38.4</td>
<td>20.7 ± 32.9</td>
<td>0.0001 *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Statistical significance

Figure 1. Number of symptoms reported during pH monitoring according to the presence or absence of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD).


**Figure 2. Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve for the accuracy of the number of symptoms and the presence of gastroesophageal reflux disease.**

**Discussion**

It has been shown that symptoms are inaccurate for the diagnosis of GERD. The accuracy for heartburn + regurgitation to diagnose GERD is only 58%, with worse results for extraesophageal symptoms. Our results show that less than half of the patients from a population with clinical diagnosis of GERD referred for pH monitoring actually had GERD. The absence of GERD in patients with extraesophageal reflux symptoms can be explained by the overlap of clinical presentation with pulmonary, otolaryngologic and cardiac diseases. Interestingly, however, the same proportion of esophageal and extraesophageal symptoms were found in both patients GERD + and GERD - in our series. Esophageal complaints in GERD - patients may also be caused by dysmotility, visceral hypersensitivity, hypervigilance and psychosocial characteristics.

The number of symptoms experienced during 24 hours may indirectly represent visceral hypersensitivity, hypervigilance and psychosocial characteristics. We expected a two-tailed distribution of symptoms. We believed that patients who reported a small number of symptoms during pH monitoring had a lower chance to have GERD, since the disease presents with burdensome symptoms leading to a low quality of life comparable to diabetes and hypertension especially considering that patients had to stop medication to undergo the test. Our results oppositely showed a higher number of symptoms in GERD + patients, even though, this parameter is a very weak predictor for GERD. We expected also a higher incidence of total absence of symptoms during pH monitoring in GERD - patients considering that it is linked to worse outcomes after surgical therapy. Our results; however, did not confirm this hypothesis with a likelihood ratio of only 1.1 to not experience symptoms during pH monitoring in GERD - patients. On the other side, we theorized that hypersensitivity, hypervigilance and psychiatric disorders would bring a higher number of symptoms during the test in GERD - patients. Again, our results; however, showed a higher number of symptoms in GERD + patients. Hypersensitivity occur in patients with symptoms triggered by reflux events despite normal acid exposure. Since the number of brief episodes of reflux in a day is higher, even in healthy individuals, would lead to an increased number of symptoms reported. We found only 10 patients that could have this diagnosis (4% of GERD - patients). This small number renders difficult any mathematical analysis; however, they reported an average of 10 symptoms during the test, i.e., well above the average for the population. Hypervigilance and psychiatric disorders could also lead to an increased number of daily symptoms since psychological factors affect how patients perceive the physiological symptoms. While, some authors showed that the likelihood of complaining of reflux symptoms increased by 2.8 times when anxiety and depression are present, others showed that levels of anxiety or depression were not associated with the number of reflux symptoms reported during 24-hour pH impedance monitoring or with the number of symptoms associated with a reflux event.

In conclusion, our results show that GERD + patients reported higher number of symptoms during pH monitoring but the number of symptoms is not a good predictor for GERD presence or severity. pH monitoring is essential to evaluate patients with suspected GERD.
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